The role of math learning anxiety, math testing anxiety, and self-efficacy in the prediction of test anxiety
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: As a common educational phenomenon, test anxiety is known to be closely related to the academic performance and achievement, and even the future performance of most students. Math anxiety is considered to be one of the possible contributing factors to test anxiety. This study aimed to determine the role of self-efficacy and math anxiety in predicting test anxiety among girl students.

METHODS: In this descriptive-analytic study, the statistical population included all girl students studying in junior year in high schools of Urmia City, Iran, in the academic year of 2016. Of them, 351 students were selected using multistage cluster sampling method. The data were collected using Spielberger test anxiety inventory (TAI), Chiu and Henry mathematics anxiety scale for children (MASC), Sherer et al. and general self-efficacy scale (GSES). The collected data were analyzed using Pearson correlation and stepwise regression tests.

RESULTS: Self-efficacy and math anxiety could significantly predict test anxiety in studied students (P < 0.010).

CONCLUSION: The result indicated that increasing self-efficacy decreased math anxiety level and test anxiety in students. The findings imply that self-efficacy improvement and test anxiety reduction should be taken important in educational and counseling services provided for students.
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Introduction

Test anxiety is an educational phenomenon which is directly related to students’ academic performances and achievements, and even their future performances.¹ Test anxiety is taken as a general term referring to a certain type of anxiety or specific social anxiety, making people cast doubt on their abilities and competencies, and reducing their ability to deal with conditions like an exam through which they are supposed to be evaluated. Test anxiety can also be labeled as a form of evaluation anxiety, that is, given the point of its occurrence, testing situation can be seen and assessed as a threat for those experiencing test anxiety. Test anxiety appears due to cognitive problems such as negative and worrisome thoughts regarding the exam under academic pressures. These abnormal reactions to stressful conditions reduce working memory performance.²

Test anxiety is one of the most prevalent and acute problems which students encounter...
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Students with higher anxiety hurriedly judge the whole test upon seeing the first few questions while those with lower anxiety postpone their judgment until the end of the exam. Nowadays, self-efficacy beliefs are known as effective factors contributing to motivational regulation, guiding human behavior, and coping with anxiety and stressful situations. Self-efficacy is one’s belief in his ability to accomplish a certain activity. Bandura’s self-efficacy theory put emphasis on the role of one’s self-esteem and confidence in his abilities to display the behaviors expected from him. Self-efficacy is one’s assurance in displaying a particular behavior appropriate to the encountered situation and expecting its consequences. Researchers have investigated the relationship between self-efficacy and anxiety, including test anxiety. They have also suggested self-efficacy as an important factor in academic achievement in specific areas.

Even though a little anxiety is necessary for students to perform their tasks and to help them with educational scheduling and further study, excessive anxiety reduces student’s academic achievement, leads to discomfort caused by inability to accomplish activities such as math exercises, and results in math anxiety, decreased self-esteem, helplessness, distress and embarrassment, disability, nervous pressure, and lack of concentration which elucidate the necessity of doing these kinds of studies, and the importance of determining the factors contributing to test anxiety. Therefore, given the aforementioned literature review, the main issue of the present study was to determine whether test anxiety can be predicted through math anxiety and self-efficacy.

Materials and Methods

In this descriptive-analytic study, the statistical population included a total number of 1750 girl students studying in the final year of
high schools in Uremia City, Iran, in the academic year of 2016. Of them, 351 were selected according to Morgan's formula using multistage cluster sampling method. Firstly, 8 schools from different geographical areas (2 northern, 2 southern, 2 eastern, and 2 western schools) were selected randomly from 18 girls’ high schools located in Uremia, and afterwards 2 classes (each class with 20 students) were selected from each high school. Then, the questionnaires were distributed among students, and completed after obtaining informed consent from them.

Pearson correlation and stepwise regression tests were employed to analyze the data.

**Spielberger’s Test Anxiety Inventory (TAI):** This 32 item-inventory was developed by Spielberg et al.\(^5\) Jadidi et al. determined the validity of Persian version of this questionnaire in a study on 538 high school students in Kurdistan Province, Iran (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.761).\(^{21}\) Correlation coefficients of TAI were 0.82, 0.72, and 0.67 using Sarason test anxiety scale, trait anxiety, and situational anxiety, respectively.\(^5\) In our research, Cronbach's alpha calculated as 0.768.

**Mathematics Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC):** This 22 item-inventory was developed by Chiu and Henry.\(^22\) To measure the validity of MASC, they calculated its correlation using different tools. They reported 0.92 and 0.71 for the correlation coefficients of this scale using mathematical anxiety scale (MARS) and Sarason's Test Anxiety Scale for Children (TASC), respectively. The reliability of MASC reported by Cronbach's alpha for all subjects was 0.92.\(^{22}\) In our research, Cronbach's alpha was 0.769.

**General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES):** This questionnaire, developed by Sherer et al. is composed of 17 items.\(^{23}\) Asgharnejad et al. reported the reliability of Persian version of GSES as 0.88 using Cronbach's alpha coefficient.\(^{24}\) Najafi and Fooladchang determined the reliability of 0.8 and the validity of 0.61 for GSES using Cronbach's alpha and its correlation with self-esteem scale, respectively.\(^{25}\) In this research, Cronbach's alpha was calculated as 0.871.

**Results**

The descriptive indices of variables including mean, standard deviation (SD), and variables correlation are shown in table 1.

According to table 1, there was a negative significant relationship between self-efficacy and test anxiety (−0.37), while positive significant relationships was observed between test anxiety and math learning anxiety (0.29), test anxiety and math testing anxiety (0.30), and test anxiety and math anxiety (0.34). Thus, it can be concluded that test anxiety is negatively related to self-efficacy and positively related to math anxiety.

The multiple stepwise regression was used to predict test anxiety from self-efficacy and math anxiety, such that, in the first step, self-efficacy, in the second step, math testing anxiety, and in the third one, math learning anxiety were introduced into the equation and their relationships were preserved in these steps. Table 2 shows the results of regression analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1  2  5  4  3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>33.30 ± 12.07</td>
<td>-0.21* 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math learning anxiety</td>
<td>25.43 ± 8.46</td>
<td>-0.15* 0.45* 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math testing anxiety</td>
<td>58.14 ± 18.29</td>
<td>-0.21* 0.81* 1 0.73*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math anxiety</td>
<td>50.15 ± 11.60</td>
<td>-0.37* 0.29* 1 0.34* 0.30*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation
* P < 0.050
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Table 2. Summary of regression model, analysis of variance, and statistical characteristics of test anxiety regression on predictive variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F statistics</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>5943.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5943.01</td>
<td>51.19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>36333.04</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>116.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>8547.35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4273.67</td>
<td>39.53</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>33728.70</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>108.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>9163.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3054.34</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>33113.04</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>106.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criterion variable was test anxiety in all steps; and predictive variable(s) was self-efficacy in step 1, self-efficacy and math testing anxiety in step 2, and self-efficacy, math testing anxiety, and math learning anxiety in step 3.

Based on table 2, it can be concluded that 0.22 of the variance of the criterion variable is explained by total predictive variables, namely, three mentioned predictive variables explain 22% of the test anxiety score, out of which, 14% is explained by self-efficacy; while math testing anxiety and math learning anxiety predict 6% and 2% of the variance, respectively. The observed F level for predictive variables is significant at the level of 0.001. This finding suggests that these three variables can significantly predict test anxiety.

In table 3, standardized and unstandardized regression coefficients and their significance are presented.

According to table 3, the effect of math testing anxiety (r = 0.19) and math learning anxiety (r = 0.14) on test anxiety are positive and significant while the effect of self-efficacy (-0.32) on this variable is negative and significant; so, it can be said that increasing in self-efficacy and decreasing in math anxiety result in test anxiety reduction.

### Discussion

The main objective of this study was to determine the test anxiety using math anxiety and self-efficacy. In this regard, the data were analyzed using Pearson correlation and multiple stepwise regression tests. The results showed that math testing anxiety and math learning anxiety explained 6% and 2% of the variance of test anxiety, respectively. The observed F level for predictive variables was significant at the level of 0.001 which is consistent with the findings of Rekabdar and Soleimani.26 No study was found to be inconsistent with this finding. It can be said that studies on anxiety and individuals’ performances convey the evidence that depression anxiety and, in general, psychological pressures reduce one’s effective behavior in the face of different realities, especially when the requested assignments need more attention and focus. A student, who becomes anxious during a math activity, cannot think properly and organize his own knowledge into performance; so he/she often intentionally puts more effort into his activities; even though his efforts do not result in the proper learning of mathematical concepts which ultimately makes her/him desperate and depressed, and math anxiety causes fear and worry about failure in the exam.

Table 3. Stepwise regression coefficients of test anxiety on predictive variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Standard error b</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant (a)</td>
<td>53.18</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-6.15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math learning anxiety</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math testing anxiety</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results also showed that self-efficacy predicted 14% variance of test anxiety. The observed F level for predictive variables was significant at the level of 0.001. This finding is consistent with that of the studies conducted by Jex and Bliese\textsuperscript{15} and Janice.\textsuperscript{16} It is enough to explain this finding by the fact that people with higher self-efficacy beliefs have lower test anxiety because one’s perception of his abilities is one of the most important factors in dealing with stressful situations.\textsuperscript{15} On the other hand, higher self-efficacy increases one’s interest, effort, endurance, and diligence in performing assigned tasks, and one’s confidence in his ability;\textsuperscript{16} which, in turn, lower one’s anxiety. Individuals with higher self-efficacy employ cognitive and metacognitive strategies, and diligence in doing their tasks. Therefore, self-efficacy plays an important role in academic achievement. Mehrabizadeh Honarmand et al. showed that test anxiety is negatively related to self-efficacy.\textsuperscript{27}

According to Bandura, individuals’ knowledge, skills, and previous achievements do not predict their future performance, but their beliefs regarding their skills and abilities affect their performance.\textsuperscript{13} Alborzi and Seif in a study on students pointed out that there was a negative relationship between test anxiety and academic achievement in statistics.\textsuperscript{28}

Conclusion

Paying attention to people’s beliefs and perceptions of their abilities is very effective in improving their mental health and also their future academic and professional performances. It is recommended that, besides incorporating courses in students’ curriculum, teachers receive adequate training and employ teaching methods contributing to self-efficacy improvement and the development of a strong sense of competence in order to reduce the level of test anxiety caused by low self-efficacy and to decrease the sense of incompetence in the students significantly. It is also suggested that future studies be conducted among boys and also students of both sexes studying in other educational levels.
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